

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/644,209	FISHER ET AL.
	Examiner Dipakkumar Gandhi	Art Unit 2138

**All Participants:**

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Peterson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 December 2006

**Time:** 2 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative Charles Peterson agreed with the examiner that in claim 1, line 10, "storage array" is changed to --storage device--. In claim 14, the last line is modified to "test storage media, wherein said selected test storage media are tested without being inserted into said data library."